UDC 378.1

**Development of blended learning model in subject
fundamental automation and control system
technology for electro technical cadets of
Surabaya Merchant Marine Polytechnic**

Faris Nofandi, Upik Widyaningsih, Hariyono

Submitted:
3 April 2020
Accepted:
19 June 2020
Published online:
29 June 2020

© F. Nofandi
© U. Widyaningsih
© Hariyono

Abstract

This study aims to formulate planning, implement, and test the effectiveness of learning with a blended learning model in the basic courses of automation and control system technology. The method used in this study is the ADDIE Research and Development model. The research population is level II electro cadets. While the research sample is a class A and class B electrical cadets selected by cluster sampling technique. Data collection methods include documentation, observation, tests and questionnaires. The results showed that (1) learning planning with a blended learning model is fit to be used as a guideline for implementing learning in the classroom; (2) blended learning model can be implemented according to planning which includes orientation, organization, investigation, presentation, analysis, and evaluation; (3) learning with a blended learning model is proven effective in terms of (a) basic learning outcomes of automation and control system technology that uses a blended learning model >75 and there are significant differences in learning outcomes between groups that use the blended learning model and groups that do not use blended learning model; (b) the process of increasing activeness and motivation to learn cadets better. From the results of this study it is suggested the need for the development of a blended learning model as a supplement to face-to-face learning in the basic subjects of automation and control system technology and others.

Keywords: development; learning; blended learning model.

This work is
licensed under a
["CC BY 4.0"](#) license.

INTRODUCTION

Lectures held at Surabaya Merchant Marine Polytechnic are very lecturer oriented, namely learning that places cadets as objects in learning activities are classic. The learning process in college based on the curriculum which has been prepared by each university because it is an autonomous right to higher education ([Zulyadaini, 2020](#)). In this approach the lecturer places himself as the most knowledgeable, most understanding and as the only source of learning. The instructional approach that is centered on lecturers has the characteristic that management of learning is determined entirely by the

lecture. The role of cadets in this learning activity is only in accordance with the instructions of the lecturer. Cadets have almost no opportunity to carry out activities according to their interests and desires, resulting in a lack of independence and motivation to learn independently, all depending on the instructor's orders. As a result of boarding school life affects the central learning in the hands of lecturers and trainers also carried into the lecture hall, which of course can have an impact on the lack of independence and creativity of cadets, because everything is carried out according to orders.

Low motivation to learn cadets from observations made by researchers of the Surabaya Sailing Polytechnic cadets, specifically for the Electro shipping Study cadets that will be used as research objects, an information picture is obtained that reflects the low motivation of cadets to learn. From the background above, the problems can be formulated. They are (1) the planning of the blended learning model in the basic subjects of the automation of the control system technology for the shipping electro cadets of Surabaya Merchant Marine Polytechnic, (2) the implementation of the blended learning model in the basic courses of the automation of control system technology for the shipping electro cadets of Merchant Marine Polytechnic, (3) the effectiveness of the blended learning model in the basic subject of the automation of the control system technology for the Electro shipping cadets of Surabaya Merchant Marine Polytechnic.

The research objective is to make learning plan based on blended learning model in basic courses of the automation of control system technology for shipping electro cadets of Surabaya Merchant Marine Polytechnic, to understand the implementation of the blended learning model in the basic subject of the control system technology automation for the shipping electro cadets of Surabaya Merchant Marine Polytechnic, indicates the effectiveness of the blended learning model in the basic courses of the automation of the control system technology for the Electro shipping cadets of Surabaya Merchant Marine Polytechnic.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The term use of learning models according to Arends in Trianto ([2007](#)) is based on two important reasons, namely:

- 1) The model has a broader meaning than strategy, method, or procedure;
- 2) As an important means of communication, what is said about teaching in the classroom, or the practice of supervising children. The choice of terms of this learning model serves to provide guidelines for instructor designers and educators in implementing learning.

Rusman ([2013](#)) states that there are several things that need to be considered by educators in choosing learning models, including:

- 1) Consideration of the objectives to be achieved.
- 2) Considerations relating to learning materials.

3) Considerations from the point of view of students or cadets, including: (a) Does the learning model match the level of student maturity? (b) Does the learning model fit the interests, talents, and conditions of students? (c) Does the learning model fit the learner's learning style?

4) Other non-technical considerations, including: (a) is it enough to reach the goal with just one model? (b) Is the learning model that we set the only model that can be used? (c) Does the learning model have a value of effectiveness or efficiency? Etymologically the term 'blended learning' consists of two words, namely blended and learning. The word 'blend' means mixture, and 'learning' has a general meaning, namely studying. Thus, blended learning implies learning patterns that contain elements of mixing or merging between a pattern and another pattern. Cheung & Hew ([2011](#)) explain blended learning as a combination of face to face learning and online learning. In line with the above definition, Elenena Mosa in Cepi Riyana ([Riyana, 2009](#)) said that what was mixed in blended learning were two main elements, namely classroom learning with online learning.

RESEARCH METHOD

The Methodology of this study used ADDIE Research and Development Model. This research model has five steps to apply; those 5 steps consist of Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation and Evaluation ([Wahyudi, 2013](#)):

1) Analyze, the researcher clarifies the instructional problems and objectives, and identifies the learning environment and learner's existing knowledge and skills;

2) Design, the second step is focused on the activities those are material choice relevant to the student's characteristics and the competence to be achieved;

3) Development, in this phase, the designers create storyboards and graphics;

4) Implementation, the implementation phase develops procedures for training facilitators and learners;

5) Evaluation, the evaluation phase consists of two parts: formative and summative. Formative evaluation is present in each stage of the ADDIE process. The last step is evaluation and it covers formative and summative evaluation ([Aldoobie, 2015](#); [Widyastuti & Susiana, 2019](#)).

Implementation of Learning Models Design development at the implementation stage of the blended learning model is carried out by experiments using a randomized control pattern pretest-posttest design. This pattern (see [Table 1](#)) was designed by taking samples of subjects involving control samples as a comparison. As for each sample subject is subject to two treatments, namely before the implementation of learning (pretest) and after using learning (posttest).

Table 1. **Research design**

Group	Technique taking	Pretest	Treatment	Posttest
E	R	O1	X	O2
K	R	O2		O4

Sources: own study

Information:

R: random sampling (random)

E: experimental group

K: control group

X: Treatment

O1: Pretest the experimental group

O2: Posttest the experimental group

O3: Pretest the control group

O4: Posttest control group

The implementation design pattern above illustrates the comparison of experimental groups using blended learning models and control groups that do not use blended learning models (conventional models only). This difference is done to determine the extent of implementation of learning with the model of blended learning in the subject of automation can be implemented. The population used in this study was all second semester ETO cadets in Surabaya Merchant Marine Polytechnic. The sample in this study was 48 people. This sample was taken from ETO A and ETO B class of Polbit. ETO A was used as experimental class and ETO B was used as class of control.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

After seeing and considering learning planning with a blended learning model on the basic material of automation and control systems, the following validation results are obtained (see [Table 2](#), [Table 3](#)).

Table 2. **Results of expert validation of learning materials**

Variable	Sub variable	Maximum score	Score	Percent ation	Note
Basic considerations for choosing a blended learning model	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - objectives to be achieved; - learning materials / materials; - learner's point of view; - effectiveness and efficiency. 	50	46	92%	Very decent

Variable	Sub variable	Maximum score	Score	Percent ation	Note
Learning system components	- purpose - content - method - media - evaluation	50	45	90%	Very decent

Sources: own study

Table 3. Expert learning model validation results

Variable	Sub variable	Maximum score	Score	Percent ation	note
Components of learning tools with a blended learning model	subject identity; competency standards; basic competencies; Indicators of Competence Achievement; learning objectives; teaching material; Time Allocation; learning methods; Learning Activities; assessment of learning outcomes; Learning Resources; completeness of learning devices	60	53	88%	Very decent
The key to the blended learning model	live events (face to face learning); self-paced learning (independent learning); collaboration (collaboration); assessment (evaluation / measurement of learning outcomes); performance support materials (learning material support)	50	42	84%	Very decent

Sources: own study

After seeing and considering learning planning with the blended learning model, the following validation results are obtained. The basic considerations for choosing a blended learning model has obtained 92%, it is very decent. The learning system component has obtained 90%, it is very decent.

Based on observations of the implementation of learning with the blended learning model for 4 meetings.

Implementation of blended learning model at meeting 3

The results of the implementation of the blended learning model at meeting 3 examined the subject of simple editing to make presentations, especially the

subject matter of hyperlinks, insert pictures, and diagrams. The learning implementation is carried out through (1) synchronous learning (face-to-face learning in the form of constructive lectures, practices, and presentations) and synchronous (independent / online in the form of chat) and (2) asynchronous learning (asynchronous independent / online in the form of independent learning with e-material and asynchronous collaborative / online discussion forums online) with a constructive approach. Synchronous learning is carried out together in a computer laboratory room and at the same time, while asynchronous learning is carried out independently by cadets anywhere and anytime. From the description above, it shows that the implementation of learning with the blended learning model at the 3rd meeting is in accordance with Chaeruman's theory.

In his theory, Chaeruman ([2018](#)) states that blended learning as learning that combines synchronous and asynchronous learning settings appropriately in order to achieve learning objectives. Based on the implementation of learning at meeting 3 shows the existence of learning that combines synchronous and asynchronous learning with a constructive approach to construct student knowledge. The implementation of learning with the blended learning model is done face-to-face in the computer laboratory room and online with e-learning access. This is in accordance with the theory of Cheung and Hew ([2011](#)) which states that blended learning is a combination of face to face learning and online learning. The implementation of learning with the blended learning model at the 3rd meeting is in accordance with the Mosa's theory in ([Riyana, 2009](#)) which means that learning already contains 2 main elements of blended learning, namely classroom learning and online learning.

The activity of constructing new knowledge at the 3rd meeting also spurred cadets to think abstractly, logically, and be able to draw conclusions from available information. This is in accordance with Piaget's theory in ([Rifai & Anni, 2009](#)) where learning activities are emphasized in the internal process of thinking, namely processing information in the form of age-based understanding of cadets construction. While organizational activities, investigations, presentations, analysis and evaluation show that students are active in exploring information related to hyperlinks, pictures and diagrams through chat, online discussion, and presentations. Cadets learning activities are in accordance with Vygotsky's constructivist theory in ([Rifai & Anni, 2009](#)) which states that cognitive abilities originate from social and cultural relations, where social interaction with others can spur the construction of new ideas and enhance students' intellectuals. The average implementation of learning activities at the 3rd meeting was 83 with a good category. This shows that learning activities can be implemented well and in accordance with the blended learning model.

The orientation activities at the 3rd meeting were carried out with constructive lecture activities that spur cadets to use their knowledge in constructing understanding independently. This is in accordance with the theory of Jean Piaget in ([Huda, 2013](#)) which means that cadet can construct his

own understanding by finding a balance between the structure of knowledge he already has and the new knowledge he has gained through assimilation and accommodation. The activity of constructing new knowledge at the 3rd meeting also spurred cadets to think abstractly, logically, and be able to draw conclusions from the available information (see [Table 4](#)).

Table 4. Comparison of learning implementation with blended learning models and conventional models on meeting 3

No.	Aspect	Blended Learning Model	Conventional Model
1	Orientation	90	83
2	Organization	85	80
3	Investigation	72.5	55
4	Presentation	80	80
5	Analysis & evaluation	87	80
Average		83	75

Sources: own study

Implementation of the blended learning model at the meeting 4

The results of the implementation of the blended learning model at meeting 4 examined the subject of simple editing to make presentations, especially the subject matter of sound and film. The implementation of learning with the blended learning model at meeting 4 has been carried out in accordance with Chaeruman's theory ([Chaeruman, 2018](#)) combines the blended learning model at meeting 3. The implementation of the learning model with blended learning at meeting 4 was conducted face-to-face in the computer laboratory room and online with e-learning access.

The implementation of the learning process includes: preliminary activities, core activities, and closing activities. In the preliminary, core, and closing activities, it is elaborated in depth on the steps of orientation, organization, investigation, presentation, analysis and evaluation in accordance with the theory of ([Arend, 2008](#)) that uses a problem based learning approach (problem based learning). Related to the implementation of the blended learning model at meeting 4 that uses a constructive approach, the learning is in accordance with Wahyuningsih's blended learning model ([Wahyuningsih, 2013](#)) in which there is blended learning (mixed learning) and constructive approach (constructive approach). The learning activities are in accordance with the theory of Jean Piaget in ([Huda, 2013](#)) which states that the task of constructing its own understanding is by looking for a balance between the structure of the knowledge it already has with the new knowledge it has gained through assimilation and accommodation. In addition, learning activities in orientation are also in accordance with Piaget's theory in Rifai and Anni ([2009](#)) where learning activities are emphasized in the internal process of thinking, namely information processing in the form of constructing cadets understanding based on age. This can be seen from the activities of cadets who are actively involved

in constructing understanding independently and thinking abstractly, logically, and being able to draw conclusions from information conveyed by educators. While the activities of the organization's activities, investigations, presentations, analysis and evaluation showed that students learned actively in gathering information related to video and sound material through chatting, online discussions, and presentations.

Cadets learning activities show the existence of social interaction with others, so it is in accordance with the theory of constructivism Vygotsky in (Rifai & Anni, 2009). This can spur the construction of new ideas and enhance students' intellectuals. Based on observations made by researchers, the average implementation of learning activities at meeting 4 is 85 with good category. This shows that the learning activities at the 4th meeting can be implemented well and in accordance with the blended learning model. The fundamental difference from meetings 3 and 4 is that at meeting 3 a pretest is held at the beginning of the meeting, whereas at meeting 4 a posttest is held at the end of the meeting (see Table 5).

Table 5. **Comparison of learning implementation with models blended learning and conventional model meetings 4**

No.	Aspect	Blended Learning Model	Conventional Model
1	Orientation	90	83
2	Organization	80	80
3	Investigation	80	58
4	Presentation	80	80
5	Analysis & evaluation	97	80
Average		85	76

Sources: own study

Implementation of the blended learning model at the 5th meeting

Based on the results of the implementation of the model of blended learning at meeting 5 examines the subject of interesting effects on the presentation file, especially the subject matter of slide lay-out, slide design, and animation text. The implementation of learning with the blended learning model at meeting 5 has referred to the theory of Chaeruman (2018) combines the blended learning model at meeting 3. The combination of synchronous and asynchronous learning shows collaboration between the two learning in accordance with the appropriate setting of the blended learning model to achieve learning objectives.

At this 5th meeting, a pre-test was given to determine the students' initial abilities. Related to the implementation of learning with the problem based learning approach shows learning at meeting 5 is in accordance with Wahyuningsih's blended learning model (Wahyuningsih, 2013) which includes blended learning and constructive approach (constructive approach). The learning activities are in accordance with the theory of Jean Piaget in (Huda,

[2013](#)) which states that the task of constructing its own understanding is by looking for a balance between the structure of the knowledge it already has with the new knowledge it has gained through assimilation and accommodation.

In addition, the existence of cadets activities which are actively involved in constructing understanding by thinking abstractly, logically, and being able to draw conclusions from information conveyed by educators, shows learning activities in orientation in accordance with Piaget's theory in ([Rifai & Anni, 2009](#)) based on age. While the activities of the organization, investigation, presentation, analysis and evaluation showed that students were active in gathering information about slide lay-out material, slide design, and animation text through group discussions, practices, presentations, and project work. This cadet learning activity shows the existence of social interaction with others, so that it is in accordance with the theory of constructivism Vygotsky in ([Rifai & Anni, 2009](#)) which stimulates the construction of new ideas and improves students' intellectuals. Based on the observation of researchers, the average implementation of learning activities obtained at meeting 5 is 90 with a very good category. This shows that the learning activities at meeting 5 with the blended learning model can be implemented very well (see [Table 6](#)).

Table 6. Comparison of learning implementation with blended learning models and conventional meeting models 5

No.	Aspect	Blended Learning Model	Conventional Model
1	Orientation	96	84
2	Organization	82	82
3	Investigation	86	63
4	Presentation	88	82
5	Analysis & evaluation	98	81
Average		90	78

Sources: own study

Implementation of the blended learning model at the meeting 6

In the implementation of the blended learning learning model meeting 6 examines the subject of interesting effects on the presentation file, specifically the subject matter of custom animation, and slide transitions. This learning implementation combines the blended learning model at meeting 3, this shows the implementation of learning meeting 6 has been referring to the theory of ([Chaeruman, 2018](#)). Learning settings in the form of a combination of synchronous and asynchronous learning are carried out precisely in order to achieve learning objectives. The basic difference at meetings 5 and 6 is that at meeting 5 a pre-test is given, whereas at meeting 6 a final test (post-test) is given at the end of the meeting to determine the ability of the competition after treatment. The implementation of the blended learning model at meeting 5 is done face-to-face in the computer laboratory room and online with

e-learning access. This shows learning in accordance with the theory of blended learning from ([Cheung & Hew, 2011](#)) which combines face to face and online learning.

This is in accordance with the theory of Jean Piaget in ([Huda, 2013](#)). The existence of activities which are actively involved in constructing understanding by thinking abstractly, logically, and able to draw conclusions from the information conveyed by educators, shows learning activities in orientation in accordance with the characteristics of cadets based on age in Piaget's theory in ([Rifai & Anni, 2009](#)). While the activities of the organization's activities, investigations, presentations, analysis and evaluation showed that students learned actively in gathering information about custom animation material and slide transitions through group discussions, practices, presentations, and project work. Cadets in learning activities show the existence of social interaction with others, so that it is in accordance with the theory of constructivism Vygotsky in ([Rifai & Anni, 2009](#)) which stimulates the construction of new ideas and improves students' intellectuals. Based on the observation of researchers, the average implementation of learning activities obtained at meeting 6 is equal to 90 with a very good category. This shows that the learning activities at meeting 6 with the blended learning model can be implemented very well (see [Table 7](#)).

Table 7. Comparison of learning implementation with models blended learning and conventional model meetings 6

No.	Aspect	Blended Learning Model	Conventional Model
1	Orientation	98	83
2	Organization	85	80
3	Investigation	88	60
4	Presentation	85	80
5	Analysis & evaluation	97	80
Average		90	77

Sources: own study

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of research and discussion that has been done, the following conclusions can be drawn: Learning courses in fundamental automation and control system technology with the blended model are appropriate to be used as guidelines in the implementation of learning.

Furthermore, blended learning models can be implemented according to learning plan which includes: orientation, organization, investigation, presentation, analysis, and evaluation as well as combining synchronous and asynchronous learning settings. As for the implementation of the blended learning model in accordance with the proportion of content delivered online blended/hybrid class types with a combination of face-to-face and online

learning in the range of 30-79%. Thus, blended learning model can be effective as the implementation of learning method for cadets in Surabaya Merchant Marine Polytechnic.

*Ukr. J. of Educ.
Stud. and Inf.
Technol.*
2020, 8(2)

REFERENCES

- Aldoobie, N. (2015). ADDIE Model. *American International Journal of Contemporary Research*, 5(6), 68-72. (in English)
- Arend, R. I. (2008). *Learning to Teach*. New York: McGraw Hill Companies. (in English)
- Chaeruman, U. (2018). Implementing blended learning in higher education: a case-based sharing experience. *International Seminar of Educational Technology*. (in English)
- Cheung, W. S. & Hew, K. F. (2011). Design and evaluation of two blended learning approaches: Lessons learned. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 27(8), 1319-1337. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.896>. (in English)
- Huda, M. (2013). *Models of Teaching and Learning*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar. (in Indonesian)
- Rifai, A. & Anni, C. T. (2009). *Educational Psychology*. Semarang: Unnes Press. (in Indonesian)
- Riyana, C. (2009). *Information and Communication Technology in Education. Guidelines for Educators*. Bandung: PT. Sarana Panca KaryaNusa. (in Indonesian)
- Rusman. (2013). *Learning Methods: Developing Teacher Professionalism*. Jakarta: PT. Raja Grafindo Persada. (in Indonesian)
- Trianto. (2007). *Integrated Learning Model in Theory and Practice*. Jakarta: Prestasi Pustaka. (in Indonesian)
- Wahyudi. (2013). Overview of Consumer Consumptive Behavior of Samarinda Central Plaza Mall Visitors. *eJournal Sosiatri-Sosiologi*, 1(4). Retrieved from <https://ejournal.sos.fisip-unmul.ac.id/site/?p=613>. (in Indonesian)
- Wahyuningsih, D. (2013). *The Implementation of Blended Learning by the Constructive Approach to Improve Students' Understanding of Concepts and Learning Autonomy in the Course of Human Computer Interaction in the Study Program of Educational Technology, Faculty of Educational Science, Yogyakarta State University*. (Thesis). Yogyakarta State University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia. (in Indonesian)
- Widyastuti, E. & Susiana. (2019). Using the ADDIE model to develop learning material for actuarial mathematics. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1188, 012052. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1188/1/012052>. (in English)
- Zulyadaini, Z. (2020). Development of teaching materials in numerical methods. *Ukrainian Journal of Educational Studies and Information Technology*, 8(1), 28-38. <https://doi.org/10.32919/uesit.2020.01.03>. (in English)

About the authors:

Faris Nofandi, Surabaya Merchant Marine Polytechnic, Surabaya, Indonesia. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0058-0909>. farisnofandi@gmail.com

Upik Widyaningsih, Surabaya Merchant Marine Polytechnic, Surabaya, Indonesia. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5388-4394>

Hariyono, Surabaya Merchant Marine Polytechnic, Surabaya, Indonesia. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3215-5667>